TAR SPOT OF CORN:
IMPACT AND MANAGEMENT OPTIONS

Darcy Telenko

Assistant Professor/ Field Crop Extension Pathologist

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Extension

Resources for Indiana

Follow on Twitter: @DTelenko

Purdue Field Crop Pathology Website
https://extension.purdue.edu/fieldcroppathology/





Applied Research in Field Crop Pathology for Indiana - **watch for 2021 in January**

Crop Protection Network Publication
https://cropprotectionnetwork.org/





PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology

Tar spot of corn



PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology

Tar Spot of Corn – Identification

Causal agent: *Phyllachora maydis*

Tar Spot of Corn – Identification

Causal agent**:** *Phyllachora maydis*



Tar Spot Occurrence – 10/24/2021

CROP PROTECTION NETWORK
A Product of Land Grant Universities

CROP PROTECTION NETWORK
A Product of Land Grant Universities

Tar spot distribution



Also confirmed in:

- **Florida**
- **Georgia**

www.corn.ipmpipe.org

Corn ipmPIPE

Tar Spot Disease Cycle



CROP PROTECTION NETWORK
A Product of Land Grant Universities



Determining Tar Spot Risk in Indiana

Objectives

- Determine distribution of tar spot in Indiana
- What parts of the state are most at risk?
- What influences the annual epidemic?
- Can we use this information to monitor the disease and help prediction modeling in the future?



Yearly Distribution of Tar Spot in Indiana



2015 – 7 counties PPDL **FIRST REPORT US**
2016 – 5 new counties ples (13)
2017 – 3 new counties PPDL samples (16)
2018 - 25 new counties PPDL + survey (41)
2019 – 25 new counties PPDL + survey (66)
2020 – 12 new counties PPDL + survey (78)
2021 – 4 new counties PPDL + survey (82)

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology



Range of Leaf Severity of Tar Spot

>25 % severity on leaf

5-7 % severity on leaf

1 % severity on leaf

<1 % severity on leaf





Survey of Tar Spot Average Field Incidence 2019-2021

2019



2020



2021



1 Vanderburgh
2 Switzerland
3 Ohio
4 Blackford

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology



Survey of Tar Spot Average Leaf Severity 2019-2021

2019



2020



2021



1 Vanderburgh
2 Switzerland
3 Ohio
4 Blackford

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology



Survey of Tar Spot Index 2019-2021

2019



2020



2021



1 Vanderburgh
2 Switzerland
3 Ohio
4 Blackford

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology



Drought Conditions 2019, 2020, and 2021

30 July 2019



28 July 2020



27 July 2021

27 Aug 2019



25 Aug 2020



31 Aug 2021



PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology

CROP PROTECTION NETWORK
A Product of Land Grant Universities

2021- Leaf wetness is a driving factor





Summary of Tar Spot Survey in Indiana

- Tar spot continues to spread in Indiana
  - 7 counties in 2015
  - 82 counties in 2021
- There is a range of severity in fields
  - Currently lower risk central and southern Indiana
  - High risk in northern Indiana
  - Pockets of disease in some areas, keep a close eye in the future
- Increasing inoculum for future epidemics
- Weather conditions will continue to play a signification role and influence annual risk

Management

Hybrid resistance/susceptibility

CROP PROTECTION NETWORK
A Product of Land Grant Universities

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

Image courtesy: Dan Heasley, MI



Hybrid susceptibility to tar spot, Brookston Indiana 2018





PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology

Impact of Tar Spot on Corn Hybrid Yield





0.3 to 1.4 bu/A loss per 1% increase in tar spot severity
Early maturing 0.5 bu/A yield loss per 1% increase in tar spot severity
Late maturing 0.8 bu/A yield loss per 1% increase in tar spot severity

*Data from Wisconsin, Michigan, Illinois, and Indiana - 2018

Telenko, D. E. P., Chilvers, M. I., Kleczewski, N., Smith, D. L., Byrne, A. M., Devillez, P., Diallo, T., Higgins, R., Joss, D., Lauer, J., Muller, B., Singh, M. P., Widdicombe, W. D., and Williams, L.A. 2019. How tar spot of corn impacted hybrid yields during the 2018 Midwest epidemic. Crop Protection Network. doi.org/10.31274/cpn-20190729-002



Effect of hybrid x fungicide on tar spot severity in Indiana 2019-2021



Figure 1. Hybrid x fungicide interaction for stroma severity (AUDPC) and tar spot symptoms (AUDPC). Values with different letters are significantly different based on least square means test ($\alpha = 0.05$) and indicates pairwise comparisons between nontreated and treated mean within hybrids. AUDPC was standardized by dividing AUDPC by the total length of the disease assessment period.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology



Effect of hybrid x fungicide on yield in Indiana 2019-2021



Figure 2. Hybrid x fungicide interaction for yield (kg/ha)Values with different letters are significantly different based on least square means test (α = 0.05) and indicates pairwise comparisons between nontreated and treated mean within hybrids.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology

PURDUE UNIVERSITY

Fungicide Field Trials

Uniform Fungicide Trial for Tar Spot Disease Progress Indiana 2020



Trial COR20-03
Location: PPAC
Hybrid: 'W2585SSRIB'
Fungicide applied: 7 Aug VT/R1

28 July - tar spot first detected

PURDUE UNIVERSITY

Rapid development of tar spot in non-treated plots in Indiana 2019. Image on left taken 21 September and the same plot (right) 13 days later on 4 October

Source: Telenko et al. (2021). Fungicide efficacy on tar spot and yield of corn in the Midwestern United States. Plant Health Progress. *In press.*

Fungicide Products Evaluated for Efficacy

Trade name®	Active ingredient (%)	Rate/A	FRAC Group
Aproach Prima 2.34SC	cyproconazole (7.17%) + picoxystrobin (17.94%)	6.8 fl oz	3+11
Delaro 325SC	prothioconazole (16.0%) + trifloxystrobin (13.7%)	8.0 fl oz	3+11
Headline 2.09SC	pyraclostrobin (23.6%)	6.0 fl oz	11
Headline AMP 1.68SC	pyraclostrobin (13.6%) + metconazole (5.1%)	10.0 fl oz	11+3
Lucento 4.17SC	flutrifol (19.3%) + bixafen (15.55%)	5.0 fl oz	3+7
Miravis Neo 2.5SE	pydiflumetofen (7.0%) + azoxystrobin (9.3%) + propiconazole (11.6%)	13.7 fl oz	7+11+3
Proline 480SC	prothioconazole (41.0%)	5.7 fl oz	3
Quilt Xcel 2.2SE	azoxystrobin (13.5%) + propiconazole (11.7%)	14.0 fl oz	11+3
Revytek 3.33LC	mefentrifluconazole (11.61%) + pyraclostrobin (15.49%) + fluxapyroxad (7.4%)	8.0 fl oz	3+11+7
Topgard EQ 4.29SC	azoxystrobin (25.30%) + flutrifol (18.63%)	7.0 fl oz	3+11
Tilt 3.6EC	propiconazole (41.8%)	4.0 fl oz	3
Trivapro 2.21SE	benzovindiflupyr (2.9%) + azoxystrobin (10.5%) + propiconazole (11.9%)	13.7 fl oz	7+1+3
Veltyma 3.24S	mefentrifluconazole (17.6%) + pyraclostrobin (17.6%)	7.0 fl oz	3+11

*FRAC group – 3=Sterol biosynthesis inhibitor: DMI fungicides; 7=Inhibitor of respiration in complex II. SDH: SDHI or carboxamide fungicides; 11=inhibitor of respiration in complex III at QoI: QoI or strobilurins.

Source: Telenko et al. (2021). Fungicide efficacy on tar spot and yield of corn in the Midwestern United States. Plant Health Progress. *In press.*

Uniform Fungicide Trial on Tar Spot – Disease Severity



2019 and 2020 trials conducted in Illinois Indiana Michigan and Wisconsin (8 environments)

Range of tar spot in trials 1.6 to 23.3%

[y] Tar spot severity was rated by visually assessing the percentage of the symptomatic leaf area on the ear leaf on five plants per plot at the dent growth stage (R5).
[z] Values are least squares means. Values with different letters are significantly different based on least square means test (α=0.05).

Source: Telenko et al. (2021). Fungicide efficacy on tar spot and yield of corn in the Midwestern United States. Plant Health Progress. *In press.*

Uniform Fungicide Trial on Tar Spot – Yield



- Fungicides protected yield by 1.5 to 7.9 % over the non-treated control
- Averaged across 8 trials Delaro 325SE, Revytek 3.33LC and Veltyma 3.34S significantly increased yield over the non-treated control

2019 and 2020 trials conducted in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, and Wisconsin (8 environments)

Values are least squares means. Values with different letters are significantly different based on least square means test (α=0.05).

Source: Telenko et al. (2021). Fungicide efficacy on tar spot and yield of corn in the Midwestern United States. Plant Health Progress. *In press.*

Effect of Mode of Action (MOA) on Tar Spot Severity and Grain Yield



2019 and 2020 trials conducted in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, and Wisconsin (8 environments)

Source: Telenko et al. (2021). Fungicide efficacy on tar spot and yield of corn in the Midwestern United States. Plant Health Progress. *In press.*



Summary

- Tar spot severity ranged from 1.6 to 23.3% in the trials
- All fungicides significantly reduce tar spot compared to non-treated controls (means of eight trials).
- Fungicides protected yield by 1.5 to 7.9 % over the non-treated controls
- Delaro 325SE, Revytek 3.33LC and Veltyma 3.34S significantly increased yield over the non-treated control
- Products that had two or three MOAs decreased tar spot severity over not treating and products with one MOA
- Three MOAs significantly increased yield over not treating with a fungicide or using a single MOA group

Fungicide Products Evaluated for Efficacy 2021

Trade name®	Active ingredient (%)	Rate/A	FRAC Group
Aproach Prima 2.34SC	cyproconazole (7.17%) + picoxystrobin (17.94%)	6.8 fl oz	3+11
Delaro Complete 3.83 SC	prothioconazole (14.9%) + trifloxystrobin (13.1%) + floupyram (10.9%)	8.0 fl oz	3+11+7
Headline 2.09SC	pyraclostrobin (23.6%)	6.0 fl oz	11
Headline AMP 1.68SC	pyraclostrobin (13.6%) + metconazole (5.1%)	10.0 fl oz	11+3
Lucento 4.17SC	flutrifol (19.3%) + bixafen (15.55%)	5.0 fl oz	3+7
Miravis Neo 2.5SE	pydiflumetofen (7.0%) + azoxystrobin (9.3%) + propiconazole (11.6%)	13.7 fl oz	7+11+3
Revytek 3.33LC	mefentrifluconazole (11.61%) + pyraclostrobin (15.49%) + fluxapyroxad (7.4%)	8.0 fl oz	3+11+7
Tilt 3.6EC	propiconazole (41.8%)	4.0 fl oz	3
Veltyma 3.24S	mefentrifluconazole (17.6%) + pyraclostrobin (17.6%)	7.0 fl oz	3+11

*FRAC group – 3=Sterol biosynthesis inhibitor: DMI fungicides; 7=Inhibitor of respiration in complex II. SDH: SDHI or carboxamide fungicides; 11=inhibitor of respiration in complex III at QoI: QoI or strobilurins.

Source: Telenko, Ames, Chilvers, Smith, and Tenuta (2021). Tar spot uniform fungicide trails 2021.

Uniform Fungicide Trial on Tar Spot – Disease Severity 2021



2021 trials conducted in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Wisconsin, and Ontario, CA (5 environments)

[y] Tar spot severity was rated by visually assessing the percentage of the symptomatic leaf area on the ear leaf at the dent growth stage (R5).
[z] Values are least squares means. Values with different letters are significantly different based on least square means test (α=0.05).

Source: Telenko, Ames, Chilvers, Smith, and Tenuta (2021). Tar spot uniform fungicide trails 2021.

Uniform Fungicide Trial on Tar Spot – Yield 2021



2021 trials conducted in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Wisconsin, and Ontario, CA (5 environments)

P= 0.004

[z] Values are least squares means. Values with different letters are significantly different based on least square means test (α=0.05).

Source: Telenko, Ames, Chilvers, Smith, and Tenuta (2021). Tar spot uniform fungicide trails 2021.



Fungicide Timing – Indiana 2019, 2020, 2021

Fungicide: Trivapro 13.7 fl oz/A

First detection of tar spot

2019

- V7 – 8 Jul
- **13 Jul**
- V9 – 15 Jul
- V10 – 19 Jul
- VT/R1 – 7 Aug
- R2 – 23 Aug
- V7 fb VT – 8 Jul, 7 Aug
- Tarspotter – no app

2020

- V8 – 14 Jul
- V10 – 20 Jul
- **28 Jul**
- VT/R1 – 7 Aug
- R2 – 21 Aug
- R3 – 2 Sep
- R4 – 11 Sep
- R5 – 23 Sep
- V8 fb VT – 14 Jul,7 Aug
- Tarspotter – no app

2021

3 Jul

- V8 – 23 Jul
- V12 – 2 Aug
- R1 – 6 Aug
- R2 – 20 Aug
- R3 – 30 Aug
- R4 – 10 Sep
- R5 – 16 Sep
- V8 fb R1 – 23 Jul, 6 Aug
- Tarspotter – 2 Aug

Trials COR19-05/COR20-05/COR21-03
Location: PPAC
Hybrid: 'W2585SSRIB'

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology



Fungicide Timing and Model Validation for Tar Spot in Corn – Disease Progress, Indiana 2019



Nontreated
V7 (8 Jul)
V9 (15 Jul)
V10 (19 Jul)
VT/R1 (7 Aug)
R2 (23 Aug)
V7 (8 Jul) fb VT (7 Aug)
Model (no application)

Trial COR19-05
Location: PPAC
Hybrid: 'W2585SSRIB'
Fungicide: Trivapro 13.7 fl oz/A
13 Jul 2019 tar spot first detected

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology



Fungicide Timing and Model Validation for Tar Spot in Corn – Disease Progress, Indiana 2020



Trial COR20-05
Location: PPAC
Hybrid: 'W2585SSRIB'
Fungicide: Trivapro 13.7 fl oz/A
28 July 2020 tar spot first detected

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology



Fungicide Timing and Model Validation for Tar Spot in Corn – Disease Progress, Indiana 2021



Trial COR21-03
Location: PPAC
Hybrid: 'W2585SSRIB'
3 July 2021 tar spot first detected

Trivapro 13.7 fl oz/A
Note on R1 – 1.5 inches rain from popup storm after application

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology



Fungicide Timing and Model Validation for Tar Spot in Corn – AUDPC on Ear Leaf in Indiana 2019, 2020 and 2021



Trivapro 13.7 fl oz/A

2019

Trial COR20-05
Location: PPAC
Hybrid: 'W2585SSRIB'
Fungicide: Trivapro 13.7 fl oz/A
28 July 2020 tar spot first detected

2020

Trial COR20-05
Location: PPAC
Hybrid: 'W2585SSRIB'
Fungicide: Trivapro 13.7 fl oz/A
28 July 2020 tar spot first detected

2021

Trial COR21-03
Location: PPAC
Hybrid: 'W2585SSRIB'
3 July 2021 tar spot first detected

Note on R1 – 1.5 inches rain from popup storm after application in 2021

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology



Fungicide Timing and Model Validation for Tar Spot in Corn – Yield in Indiana 2019, 2020 and 2021



2019

P= 0.0051

Trial COR20-05
Location: PPAC
Hybrid: 'W2585SSRIB'
Fungicide: Trivapro 13.7 fl oz/A
28 July 2020 tar spot first detected



2020

P= 0.5435

Trial COR20-05
Location: PPAC
Hybrid: 'W2585SSRIB'
Fungicide: Trivapro 13.7 fl oz/A
28 July 2020 tar spot first detected



2021

P= 0.1877

Trial COR21-03
Location: PPAC
Hybrid: 'W2585SSRIB'
3 July 2021 tar spot first detected

Note on R1 – 1.5 inches rain from popup storm after application in 2021

Trivapro 13.7 fl oz/A

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology



Evaluation of Veltyma Fungicide Programs for Tar Spot in Corn– *COR21-35*

Tar spot AUDPC



Veltyma 7 fl oz/A



Image ©Crop Protection Network

Trial COR21-35
Location: PPAC
Hybrid: 'W2585SSRIB'
3 July 2021 tar spot first detected

Note on R1 – 1.5 inches rain from popup storm after application

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology



Evaluation of Veltyma Fungicide Programs for Tar Spot in Corn– *COR21-35*

Corn Yield



R2 + 32.5 bu
R3 + 38.3 bu

Trial COR21-35
Location: PPAC
Hybrid: 'W2585SSRIB'
3 July 2021 tar spot first detected

Note on R1 – 1.5 inches rain from popup storm after application

Veltyma 7 fl oz/A

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology



Fungicide Timing and Application for Tar Spot in Corn – *COR20-15* and *COR21-06*

Fungicide Products and Timings Evaluated

Trt	Treatment rate/A and timing	2020 Dates	2021 Dates
1	Nontreated control		
2	Veltyma 7 fl oz at 1st detection	8/5/2020 (early VT)	7/14/2021 (V6)
3	Veltyma 7 fl oz at V8	7/14/2020	7/23/2021
4	Veltyma 7 fl oz at VT	8/7/2020	8/6/2021
5	Veltyma 7 fl oz at R3	9/2/2020	8/27/2021
6	Veltyma 7 fl oz at 1st detection fb 3 WAT	8/5/2020 fb 8/27/2020	7/14/2021 fb 8/2/2021
7	Veltyma 7 fl oz at V8 fb 3 WAT	7/14/2020 fb 8/5/2020	7/23/2021 fb 8/12/2021
8	Veltyma 7 fl oz at VT fb 3 WAT	8/7/2020 fb 8/27/2020	8/6/2021 fb 8/27/2021
9	Veltyma 7 fl oz at R3 fb 3 WAT	9/2/2020 fb 9/23/2020	8/30/2021 fb 9/16/2021
10	Nontreated control		
11	Lucento 5 fl oz at 1st detection	8/5/2020 (early VT)	7/14/2021 (V6)
12	Lucento 5 fl oz at V8	7/14/2020	7/23/2021
13	Lucento 5 fl oz at VT	8/7/2020	8/6/2021
14	Lucento 5 fl oz at R3	9/2/2020	8/27/2021
15	Lucento 5 fl oz at 1st detection fb 3 WAT	8/5/2020 fb 8/27/2020	7/14/2021 fb 8/2/2021
16	Lucento 5 fl oz at V8 fb 3 WAT	7/14/2020 fb 8/5/2020	7/23/2021 fb 8/12/2021
17	Lucento 5 fl oz at VT fb 3 WAT	8/7/2020 fb 8/27/2020	8/6/2021 fb 8/27/2021
18	Lucento 5 fl oz at R3 fb 3 WAT	9/2/2020 fb 9/23/2020	8/30/2021 fb 9/16/2021



Fungicide Timing and Application for Tar Spot in Corn – *COR20-15*

Tar spot AUDPC

Trial COR20-15
Location: PPAC
Hybrid: 'W2585SSRIB'
28 July 2021 tar spot first detected





Image ©Crop Protection Network



Fungicide Timing and Application for Tar Spot in Corn – *COR21-06*

Tar spot AUDPC

Trial COR21-06
Location: PPAC
Hybrid: 'W2585SSRIB'
3 July 2021 tar spot first detected



Image ©Crop Protection Network

Note on VT/R1 – 1.5 inches rain from popup storm after application



Fungicide Timing and Application for Tar Spot in Corn – *COR21-06*

Nontreated control **vs** Veltyma 7 fl oz/A at V8 fb 3 WAT 30 Sep at R6 growth stage

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology



Fungicide Timing and Application for Tar Spot in Corn – *COR20-15, COR21-06*

Corn Yield



Veltyma 7 fl oz

Lucento 5 fl oz

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology



Fungicide Program Evaluation for Tar Spot – COR20-14

Trt	Treatment*	Rate/A	Timing
1	Nontreated control		
2	Miravis Neo 2.5 SC	13.7 fl oz	V12
3	Miravis Neo 2.5 SC	13.7 fl oz	VT/R1
4	Miravis Neo 2.5 SC	13.7 fl oz	R2
5	Miravis Neo 2.5 SC	13.7 fl oz	R3
6	Trivapro 2.21 SE	13.7 fl oz	VT/R1
7	Trivapro 2.21 SE	13.7 fl oz	R2
8	Aproach Prima 2.34 SC	6.8 fl oz	VT/R1
9	Aproach 6 fl oz @ V7 fb Aproach Prima 2.34 SC 6.8 fl oz @VT/R1	6.0 fl oz fb 6.8 fl oz	V7 fb VT/R1
10	Fortix NXT	6 fl oz	VT/R1
11	Zolera ODX	5 fl oz	VT/R1
12	Dexter Xcel	48 fl oz	VT/R1
13	Zolera FX	5 fl oz	VT/R1
14	Fortix NXT	6 fl oz	V7
15	Fortix 3.22 SC	5 fl oz	V7
16	Headline AMP 1.68 SC	10 fl oz	VT/R1
17	Nontreated control		

*All treatments applied at VT/R1, R2, and R3 contained a non-ionic surfactant (Preference) at a rate of 0.25% v/v.

Trial COR20-14
Location: PPAC
Hybrid: 'W2585SSRIB'
28 July 2021 tar spot first detected

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology



2020 Fungicide Program Evaluation for Tar Spot – COR20-14
Tar spot stroma severity R5



Trial COR20-14
Location: PPAC
Hybrid: 'W2585SSRIB'
28 July 2021 tar spot first detected
*All treatments applied at R1, R2, and R3 contained a non-ionic surfactant (Preference) at a rate of 0.25% v/v.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology



2020 Fungicide Program Evaluation for Tar Spot – COR20-14 Yield (bu/A)



Significantly different treatments
24.8 – 29.5 bu/A increase in yield (14.4 – 17.1%)

Trial COR20-14
Location: PPAC
Hybrid: 'W2585SSRIB'
28 July 2021 tar spot first detected
*All treatments applied at R1, R2, and R3 contained a non-ionic surfactant (Preference) at a rate of 0.25% v/v.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology



Fungicide Program Evaluation for Tar Spot – COR21-15

Trt	Treatment*
1	Nontreated Control
2	Miravis Neo 13.7 fl oz/A at V12 (NO NIS)
3	Miravis Neo 13.7 fl oz/A + NIS at R1
4	Miravis Neo 13.7 fl oz/A at V12 (NO NIS) + Miravis Neo 13.7 fl oz + NIS at R3
5	Trivapro 13.7 fl oz/A + NIS at R1
6	Delaro Complete 8.0 fl oz/A + NIS at R1
7	Veltyma 7.0 fl oz/A + NIS at R1
8	Aproach Prima 6.8 fl oz/A + NIS at R1
9	Brixen 15.0 fl oz/A + NIS at R1
10	Brixen 13.0 fl oz/A + NIS at R1
11	Brixen 10.0 fl oz/A + NIS at R1
12	Zolera ODX 5 fl oz/A + NIS at R1
13	Vacciplant SL 14 fl oz/A + NIS at R1
14	Zolera ODX 5 fl oz/A + Vacciplant SL 14 fl oz/A + NIS at R1
15	Zolera ODX 5 fl oz/A + NIS at R2
16	Vacciplant SL 14 fl oz/A + NIS at R2
17	Zolera ODX 5 fl oz/A + Vacciplant SL 14 fl oz/A + NIS at R2
18	Veltyma 7.0 fl oz/A + NIS at R2
19	Delaro Complete 8.0 fl oz/A + NIS at R2
20	Nontreated Control

*All treatments applied at R1, R2, and R3 contained a non-ionic surfactant (Preference) at a rate of 0.25% v/v.

Trial COR21-15
Location: PPAC
Hybrid: 'W2585SSRIB'
3 July 2021 tar spot first detected

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology



2021 Fungicide Program Evaluation for Tar Spot – COR21-15 AUDPC



P= <.0001

Note on R1 – 1.5 inches rain from popup storm after application

Trial COR21-15
Location: PPAC
Hybrid: 'W2585SSRIB'
3 July 2021 tar spot first detected
*All treatments applied at R1, R2, and R3 contained a non-ionic surfactant (Preference) at a rate of 0.25% v/v.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology



2021 Fungicide Program Evaluation for Tar Spot – COR21-15 Yield

Note on R1 – 1.5 inches rain from popup storm after application

P= <.0001

Significantly different treatments 16.8 – 41 bu/A increase in yield (11.4–27.9%)

Trial COR21-15
Location: PPAC
Hybrid: 'W2585SSRIB'
3 July 2021 tar spot first detected
*All treatments applied at R1, R2, and R3 contained a non-ionic surfactant (Preference) at a rate of 0.25% v/v.

Values are least squares means. Values with different letters are significantly different based on least square means test (α=0.05).

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology

Disease Prediction is Key - Tarspotter

- **Development and validation work supported by Wisconsin Corn Promotion Board and National Corn Growers Association**
- Sporecaster set the framework to build on for deploying models for other diseases
- Platform is easy to use and flexible – Uses Logistic regression models (think probabilities!)
- Simply retrain the models using the biologically appropriate weather variables and moving averages
- Validate, retrain, validate – this is an iterative process (Machine Learning)

Extension
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON



Practices that reduced tar spot severity

- Reduced residue from previous year
- Using a moderate resistant hybrids
- Fungicide application can increase protection
- Fungicide timing is critical
- Impact on yield protection will differ by year, location, hybrid



The Tar Spot Take Home

- **Tar spot will continue to be an issue in Indiana**
  - Severity level will be a function of the hybrid, weather, and when epidemic initiates earlier vs. later in the season (episodic disease like white mold or Fusarium head blight)
  - The 2021 epidemic was problematic, because tar spot started in some fields before tasseling
  - Fungus driven by weather – a wet July in 2021 compared to 2019 and 2020.
  - Varying levels of tar spot occur across state due to weather
- **The tar spot fungus can overwinter in the upper Midwest**
  - High inoculum levels
  - Weather key (irrigation management)
  - Rotation may help a bit, not a sole solution
  - Tillage may help reduce or delay onset of disease (reducing residue) – inoculum can travel long distances, so tillage won't solve it all
- **Some hybrids are more resistant than others**
  - Resistance not tied to brand – Every hybrid stands on its own
  - Strong hybrid resistance can be overcome by a favorable disease environment (Manage irrigation!)
- **Fungicide application can reduce tar spot severity**
  - Product important (QoI + DMI or QoI + DMI + SDHI)
  - **Timing very important**
  - Application needs to occur close to the onset of the epidemic
  - Number of applications and optimal timing are going to vary by year (**Think Disease Triangle!**)
  - Tarspotter isn't perfect, but a valuable tool to help make the decision, and optimize, fungicide applications
  - If just spraying once and not interested in prediction, VT-R2 has been most consistent timing
  - Understand your farm – what disease are most of concern

CROP PROTECTION NETWORK
A Product of Land Grant Universities

What can you do?

- Assess risk – is it endemic in your area? – Scout!!!
- Talk to your seed salesperson about hybrid resistance
- If applying fungicides be sure to leave check strips
- Help monitor areas not confirmed – diagnostic clinic
- Don't forget about other diseases - new and established

Corn ipmPIPE





CROP PROTECTION NETWORK
A Product of Land Grant Universities

Fungicide mode of action groups:
Group 11 QoI Strobilurins
Group 3 DMI Triazoles
Group 7 SDHI

Efficacy cat
NR=Not Rec
E=Excellent
U = Unknow

Fungicide Efficacy for Control of Corn Diseases Table (03/2021)

	Active ingredient (%)	Product/Trade name	Rate/A (fl oz)	Anthracnose leaf blight						
11	Azoxystrobin 22.9%	Quadris 2.08 SC, multiple generics	6.0 - 15.5	VG						
11	Pyraclostrobin 23.6%	Headline 2.09 EC/SC	6.0 - 12.0	VG						
11	Picoxystrobin	Aproach 2.08 SC	3.0 – 12.0	VG						
3	Flutriafol 20.9%	Xyway LFR 1.92 SC Xyway 3D 2.5 SC	LFR: 7.6-15.2 3D: 5.8-11.8	NL						
3	Propiconazole 41.8%	Tilt 3.6 EC, multiple generics	2.0 - 4.0	NL						
3	Prothioconazole 41.0%	Proline 480 SC	5.7	U						
3	Tebuconazole 38.7%	Folicur 3.6 F, multiple generics	4.0 - 6.0	NL						
3	Tetraconazole 20.5%	Domark 230 ME	4.0 – 6.0	U						
11 3	Azoxystrobin 13.5% Propiconazole 11.7%	Quilt Xcel 2.2 SE, multiple generics	10.5 - 14.0	VG						
7 11 3	Benzovindiflupyr 2.9% Azoxystrobin 10.5% Propiconazole 11.9%	Trivapro 2.21 SE	13.7	U						
3 11	Cyproconazole 7.17% Picoxystrobin 17.94%	Aproach Prima 2.34 SC	3.4 – 6.8	U	U	U	E	VG	G	30 days

Acknowledgements

Many Thanks

Darcy Telenko, Ph.D.

Phone: (765) 496-5168

Email: dtelenko@purdue.edu

Follow me on Twitter: @DTelenko

https://extension.purdue.edu/fieldcroppathology

Many Collaborators

- Tar spot working group
- Corn and Soybean Disease Working Groups

Research and Extension Support

- FFAR-Roar
- National Corn Board
- Indiana Corn Marketing Council
- Indiana Soybean Alliance
- Purdue University
- North Central Soybean Research Program
- USWBSI –NFO
- USDA- ARS - AGPMT
- USDA- Hatch project #IND00162952
- USDA- NIFA
- Industry: AMVAC, BASF, Bayer CropScience, Certis, Corteva, FMC, Gowan, Oro Agri, Pioneer, Sipcam, Syngenta, UPD NA Inc., Valent

PURDUE UNIVERSITY | Botany and Plant Pathology

Tar spot yield loss survey

We would like your help:

- Document yield loss to tar spot
- Examine production practices that may impact tar spot
- Any questions? – please ask Dr. Martin Chilvers, at chilvers@msu.edu